

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Public Servants – Endowments Department – Disciplinary action against Sri V.S.C.V.Subba Rao, Grade-I, Executive Officer, Sri Ravinuthala Group Temples, Prakasam District now Assistant Commissioner of Endowments (Retired) – Enquiry conducted – Charges not proved-further action dropped-Orders-Issued.

REVENUE (VIGILANCE-IV) DEPARTMENT

G.O.RT.No. 350

Dated:30-04-2015
Read the following:

- 1)From the CED Hyderabad, Letter in Rc.No.V1/19567/2006, dated:22.06.2006.
- 2)G.O.Rt.No.111, Revenue (Vig.IV) Department, dated:28.01.2010.
- 3)G.O.Rt.No.112, Revenue (Vig.IV) Department, dated:28.01.2010.
- 4)G.O.Rt.No.342, Revenue (Vig.IV) Department, dated:18.03.2011.
- 5)From the Inquiring Authority and COI, D.O. Letter No.132/COI-KPC/A1/2011 dated 27.07.2011.
- 6)Govt.Memo.No.27257/Vig.IV(1)/2006-3 dated:30.11.2011.
- 7)From the C.E.D., Hyderabad, Lr.No.E1/19567/2006, dt.25.04.2012.
- 8)Govt.Memo.No.27257/Vig.IV(1)/2006-3 dated:17.9.2012.
- 9)From the C.E.D., Hyderabad, Lr.No.E1/19567/2006, dt.04.10.2012.
- 10)Govt.Memo.No.27257/Vig.IV(1)/2006-3 dated:19.3.2013.
- 11)From APAT interim orders dt.6-4-2013 in OA No.2904/2013 filed Sri VSCV Subba Rao, Assistant Commissioner (Retired)
- 12)Explanation of Sri VSCV Subba Rao, ACED (Retired) dated:30.4.2013.
- 13)Govt.Memo.No.27257/Vig.IV(1)/2006, dated:08-10-2013.
- 14)From CED, Letter No.V1/19567/2006 dated:06.02.2015.
- 15)Govt.Memo.No.27257/Vig.IV(1)/2006 dated:16.02.2015.
- 16)Govt.Memo.No.27257/Vig.IV(1)/2006 dated:06.02.2015.
- 17)From Sri VSCV Subba Rao, A.C (Retired) explanation dated:21.02.2015.

ORDER:

In the reference 1st read above, the Commissioner of Endowments, Hyderabad has reported certain irregularities alleged to have committed by Sri V.S.C.V Subba Rao, Assistant Commissioner Endowments, (Retired) and formerly Executive Officer Grade-I, Sri Ravinuthala Group Temples, Prakasam District . The report was examined and articles of charges were framed on him and the case was entrusted to Commissioner of Inquiries for inquiry vide GO 4th read above.

2. The Inquiring Authority/Commissioner of Inquiries has conducted inquiry and submitted inquiry report, vide reference 5th read above holding that none of the charges are substantiated. The findings of the IA are examined and observed that the charged officer has not obtained the administrative sanctions. Hence, opined that the charge No.5 is proved. In respect of articles of charge No.7, it is observed that the Charged officer has purchased the required provisions in the local Kirana Stores duly violating the guidelines. Hence, opined that the said charge is proved. For articles of charge No.8, it is observed that the Charged officer has not maintained the Stock register. Hence, opined that the said charge is proved. Accordingly, a copy of the enquiry report along with the disagreement factors were communicated to the charged officer in the reference 10th read above and directed to submit his further explanation.

P.T.O

::3::

3. Aggrieved by the disagreement factors, the charged officer Sri V.S.C.V Subba Rao Assistant Commissioner of Endowments Department (Retired) has filed O.A No.2904/2013 in the Hon'ble Tribunal with a prayer to suspend the same. The Hon'ble APAT in its orders dated:06.04.2013 has directed the charged officer/applicant to subject himself before the disciplinary authority and to urge the points with regard to note of disagreement. In pursuance of the interim orders of the APAT, he has submitted his explanation vide representation 12th read above. The same was examined and the Commissioner of Endowments, Hyderabad was requested to offer detailed comments on the contentions of the Charged Officer vide Memo 13th read above. A notice vide Memo 15th read above, was also issued to the charged officer to appear before the disciplinary authority on 21.02.2015 to explain his contentions. Accordingly, he has appeared before the disciplinary authority and submitted his explanation and the Commissioner of Endowments, has also submitted her version during the same time.

4. The explanation of the Charged Officer as well as the version of the Commissioner of Endowments Department, A.P. Hyderabad is examined and observed that in so far as the allegation in charge No.(5) is concerned, the Commissioner of Endowments, Hyderabad has ratified the action of the charged officer in executing the works to a tune of Rs.9,00,421/-. In respect of the allegation in charge No.(7) is concerned, it is observed that the Charged Officer has followed the existing practice at that time i.e., purchasing provisions from the local Kirana Stores for preparing the prasams. The contention of the charged officer is accepted in view of the fact that the Temple is opened only once in a week i.e., on Saturday and remotely located. So far as the allegation in charge No.(8) is concerned, it is observed that he has filed copies of stock register maintained by him and in view of this, the charge that he has not maintained stock register does not have any substance. Therefore, Government have decided to release pensionary benefits to the charged officer duly dropping further action against him in this case.

5. Accordingly, Government hereby ordered to release pensionary benefits to the charged officer Sri VSCV Subba Rao, Assistant Commissioner Endowments (Retired) and formerly Gr.I Executive Officer Sri Ravinuthala Group of Temples, Prakasam District, duly dropping further action against him in this case.

6. The Commissioner of Endowments, A.P, Hyderabad shall take further necessary action in the matter.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

**J.S.V.PRASAD
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

To
Sri V.S.C.V.Subba Rao A.C.E.D., (Retired)
H.No.18-4-105, Railway Colony, Tirupati,
Chittoor district.
through the Commissioner, Endowments Department,
Hyderabad.

Copy to:
The Commissioner, Endowments Department, Hyderabad.
The GP for Rev (Ser.), APAT w.r.to OA No.2904/2013.
SF/SC.

//FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER